MAR ? O 2006 BU

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Number	09/804;664	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	March 12, 2001	
FORM	First Named Inventor	BARAD, Jill E.	
	Art Unit	3714	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	CEGIELNIK, Urszula M.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3	Attorney Docket Number	MAT.3C7B	

Total Number o	f Pages in This Submission	3 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	MAT.30	2/B			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
	ee Attached	Drawing(s) Licensing-relate	d Papers		After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Express	nent/Reply  Infer Final  Infidavits/declaration(s)  In of Time Request  Abandonment Request  on Disclosure Statement	Terminal Disclai	lication ey, Revocation espondence Address imer fund		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Documer Reply to Incomple	Copy of Priority nt(s) Missing Parts/ te Application teply to Missing Parts nder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks - REPLY BRIEF FOR	APPELLANTS				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name Kolisch Hartwell, P.C.							
Signature auton E. Abaugset 38, 417 for Charles Defoe							
Printed name	Charles H. DeVoe	0					
Date	March 15, 2006		Reg. No.	37,30	5		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:  Signature							

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Stephen R. Pendleton

Typed or printed name

Date

March 16, 2006



In re Application of

Date

March 16, 2006

JILL E. BARAD et al.

Our Docket

MAT 3C7B

Serial No.

09/804,664

Group Art

3712

Filed

March 12, 2001

Examiner

Urszula Cegielnik

For

PERSONALIZED TOY AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING

AND DELIVERING THE SAME

Mail Stop Appeal Briefs – Patents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

## **REPLY BRIEF FOR APPELLANTS**

Pursuant to 37 CFR 41.41, this brief is submitted in reply to the Examiner's Answer mailed February 13, 2006, regarding the appeal of the above-identified application.

Applicants have studied the Examiner's Answer and respectfully continue to believe that reversal of the rejections is merited based on the positions expressed in the Brief for Appellants filed on October 28, 2005.

Applicants also note that the Examiner's Answer merely appears to be a restatement of the reasons expressed in final Office action, and fails to address several grounds from the Brief for Appellants for reversing the rejections. For example:

• Neither the ordinary meaning of the word "toy," nor the specification and prosecution history of the application, support the Examiner's construction of the claim term "toy." Also, the proposed construction, as well as the newest definition offered in the Examiner's Answer—that "any tangible object [can] be a toy" (p.5)—ostensibly

include entire categories of hazardous, dangerous, and even lethal items, and as such are repugnant to the ordinary meaning of the term.

- Similar issues exist for the claim terms "personalized toy," "customer-defined identifying material," and "customer-defined intended recipient." The Examiner's Answer ignores the meanings of these terms, as provided in the specification, and urges definitions which find no purchase in the application as filed.
- The Examiner's Answer fails to identify any motivation to modify the subject matter
  of the reference as proposed, in order to meet all of the limitations of the rejected
  claims.
- The Examiner's Answer fails to demonstrate the absence of a new and inventive functional relationship between the "printed matter" and the "substrate."
- The Examiner's Answer does not address applicants' remarks relating to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Accordingly, applicants continue to respectfully request that the rejections of the pending claims be reversed.

Please charge any additional fees required, or credit any overpayments, to our Deposit Account No. 11-1540.

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWEILL, P.C.

38,617

Charles H. DeVoe

Registration No. 37,305

520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200

Portland, Oregon 97204 Telephone: (503) 224-6655 Facsimile: (503) 295-6679

Attorney for Appellants

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence and the attached transmittal are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on March 16, 2006.

Stephen R. Pendleton